EXHIBIT 36

Picard v. Merkin

Confidential Joel Ehrenkranz 3-20-14

1

**** CONFIDENTIAL ****

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x

In Re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC,

Adv.Pro.No. 08-01789 (BRL)

Debtor.

IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC,

Plaintiff,

Adv.Pro.No. 09-1182 (BRL)

v.

J. EZRA MERKIN, GABRIEL CAPITAL, L.P., ARIEL FUND LTD., ASCOT PARTNERS, L.P., GABRIEL CAPITAL CORPORATION,

Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of JOEL

EHRENKRANZ, as taken by and before Monique Vouthouris, Certified Court Reporter, RPR, CRR and Notary Public of the States of New York and New Jersey, at the offices of BAKER HOSTETLER, 45 Rockefeller Plaza, New York, New York, on Thursday, March 20, 2014, commencing at 10:07 a.m.

	43
1	hour. This is a decent break point, if you would
2	like, or I'm happy to continue.
3	THE WITNESS: We can go on, if it's
4	okay with everyone.
5	BY MR. KITCHEN:
6	Q. Mr. Ehrenkranz, do you know Bernard
7	Madoff?
8	A. Please tell me the word "know."
9	Explain the word.
10	Q. Sure. Have you ever met him?
11	A. Yes, sir.
12	Q. When did you meet him? When did you
13	first meet him?
14	A. I met him for the only time probably
15	about 1991, '2.
16	Q. And why did you meet him at that time?
17	A. I met him at Ezra's suggestion to
18	consider investing with him.
19	Q. Were you considering investing with
20	Mr. Madoff at that time?
21	A. That is why I considered meeting him.
22	Q. Do you recall anything said by
23	Mr. Merkin at that time regarding Madoff?
24	A. Other than the fact that he suggested
25	that we meet with him because he considered Mr. Madoff

	44
1	to be a good investor.
2	Q. Did you have any understanding at that
3	time of any relationship between Mr. Merkin and
4	Mr. Madoff?
5	A. I think Mr. Merkin referred to how he
6	would speak with Mr. Madoff about where the markets
7	were.
8	Q. Did you have an understanding of
9	whether Merkin and his funds were invested with
10	Mr. Madoff at that time?
11	A. No.
12	Q. At the time of that meeting, did you
13	have an understanding of BLMIS' investment strategy,
14	or at least, looking back, its purported investment
15	strategy?
16	A. Yes, it was explained to me and I
17	understood the idea of the strategy.
18	Q. How was it explained to you?
19	A. It was explained to me as a strategy I
20	think which is currently called a covered call
21	strategy, where Mr. Madoff would buy a stock, sell it,
22	call against it and take those proceeds, buy a put,
23	thereby protecting the downside.
24	Q. And who explained that to you?
25	A. Mr. Madoff.

	Picard v. Merkin	Confidential	Joel Ehrenkranz 3-20-1
			48
1	calls, puts and other	er transactions,	ending balance,
2	and I thought it was	s a a wonderf	ully transparent
3	report.		
4	Q. Did a	anything that yo	u discussed at that
5	5 meeting raise concer	rns with you?	
6	A. Yes.	The slips which	h he sent out, he
7	described that he wo	ould send slips	were from Madoff
8	and company, and the	e statement, the	monthly statement
9	9 was from Madoff and	company.	
10	Q. And w	why was that a c	oncern?
11	A. Becau	use I said where	is the independent
12	verification? And	I said to him th	at this is his
13	strategy was a very	interesting str	ategy for us, and
14	4 that we could be an	investor with h	im if he cleared
15	5 his trades through s	some entity like	a Merrill Lynch or
16	a Morgan Stanley or	Goldman Sachs o	r one of the major
17	7 clearing firms.		
18	Q. Was i	it typical of yo	u to inquire into
19	9 potential investors	I'm sorry, i	nvestment managers
20	o as to whether or not	t there was inde	pendent
21	verification of trac	des?	
22	A. Absol	lutely.	
23	Q. Why?		
24	A. Becau	use that's the o	nly way you can
25	5 assure vourself that	t in fact what	is occurring, what

you believe is occurring, is, in fact, occurring.

- Q. So even though you believed that he gave his clients significant amount of transparency, that's what you testified to before, you still had a concern because that transparency was not independently verified. Is that accurate?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you discuss any other concerns with Mr. Madoff?
- A. No, other than probably how big he could be in the -- in the -- in what he was doing, and there was some recollection, which I'm not as clear about, about the auditing firm that audited the statements. I was not as clear about it because I cared about the independent verification.
- Q. What do you recall about -- I assume you're talking about Mr. Madoff's auditor?
- A. Yes. I don't really recall much of that conversation other than asking or having seen the auditor and having it not be a name of any recognition and having that as what I'm going to call a minor concern.

My big issue was if it was done through a Merrill Lynch or Morgan Stanley, I would have had a somewhat different point of view. I might have

	55
1	A. My brother reporting to me
2	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.
3	A that Mr. Madoff said he wasn't going
4	to do it for the very reason I reported.
5	Q. Did you ultimately decide not to invest
6	with Mr. Madoff then?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. Following that meeting and phone call,
9	do you recall having discussions with anyone at
10	Ehrenkranz regarding your discussions with Madoff?
11	A. Other than we probably reported to
12	Larry Cohen our meeting.
13	Q. Did you express to him the concerns
14	that you had had
15	A. Of course.
16	Q. Do you recall after that having any
17	discussions with Ezra Merkin regarding
18	A. Definitely telling him we weren't doing
19	it for those reasons.
20	Q. And specifically the reasons as to
21	clearing?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And the auditor?
24	A. Yes. Not the auditor, but the reasons
25	of the independent clearing.

	56
1	Q. Do you remember what Mr. Merkin's
2	response was, if anything?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Did you express to Mr. Merkin the
5	possibility of using an independent clearing fund as
6	you had
7	A. Would you repeat that question?
8	Q. Sure. Your proposal to Mr. Madoff that
9	he independently clear through Goldman or Merrill
10	Lynch
11	A. Yes.
12	Q did you discuss that possibility
13	with Ezra Merkin as well?
14	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.
15	A. I'm going to answer it by saying we
16	probably said that to Ezra Merkin in reporting on what
17	the meeting was.
18	Q. Do you remember if Mr. Merkin ever
19	expressed whether your concerns were valid or
20	reasonable?
21	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.
22	A. No, no.
23	Q. Did he ever express whether he,
24	himself, had discussed those issues with Mr. Madoff?
25	A. No.

	58
1	Q. Did he ever suggest that you invest
2	with Mr. Madoff indirectly through Ascot?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. When did he make that recommendation?
5	A. I'm going to guess that was the year
6	I'm going to put it in '94.
7	Q. And what did he say?
8	A. He said that he was creating a fund to
9	invest with Mr. Madoff and that he encouraged us to
10	invest in that fund and that he would provide the
11	independent verification that we found lacking in a
12	satisfactory mode.
13	Q. Did he explain how that independent
14	verification would be done?
15	A. He said he was going to have someone in
16	his office go ahead and monitor the trades that were
17	made by Mr. Madoff at the time he made them and have
18	an accounting firm go ahead and monitor it also, give
19	independent verification of it, a recognized
20	accounting firm.
21	Q. Do you recall who that firm was?
22	A. I don't.
23	Q. Do you recall if they had a
24	relationship with Mr. Madoff, I'm sorry, with
25	Mr. Merkin or his

	64
1	Q. Sure. You said you recalled paying a
2	management fee but not an incentive fee.
3	A. To Ascot?
4	Q. To Ascot, correct.
5	A. But your question related to something
6	else.
7	Q. I appreciate that. Let me rephrase.
8	Why did you pay that fee? What was its
9	purpose?
10	A. Well, we paid a fee to Mr. Merkin,
11	Ascot Fund to him to do the due diligence, arrange the
12	auditing and do all the things that had to be done
13	with governance of any pool of assets.
14	Q. Was it your understanding that
15	Mr. Merkin kept that fee himself or at least his
16	management company kept that fee?
17	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.
18	A. I assume that, sure.
19	Q. Did he ever express that that fee was
20	being passed along to Mr. Madoff?
21	A. No.
22	Q. You previously testified that DIS fully
23	redeemed from Ascot Partners towards the end of 1995.
24	Is that correct? Why did you redeem then?
25	A. I don't remember the markets as well in

25

	Ticara V. Mci kin Comidential Joei Em chki anz 3-20-1
	65
1	that period of time. But the stability of the returns
2	began to belie any understanding of how it was
3	possible to achieve, and we just became sufficiently
4	uncomfortable with the whole idea of his ability to do
5	this. And notwithstanding Merkin's feeling that it
6	was okay, we just were sufficiently uncomfortable. We
7	just didn't want to be there.
8	Q. Okay. Can you explain further what you
9	mean by stability of the return?
10	A. It's hard, it's almost unimaginable in
11	the financial markets to go ahead and get the kind of
12	positive returns on a monthly basis that Mr. Madoff
13	seemed to be able to get, and at some point in time it
14	just belied logic and it had belied logic, and I
15	really couldn't care less, if we weren't invested,
16	hearing about it. But once we were invested, it
17	really was it made us very, very uncomfortable, and
18	there is no reason to be uncomfortable.
19	Q. Did you have any discussions with
20	Mr. Merkin regarding that?
21	A. Oh, yes, many.
22	Q. What did you say to him?
23	A. I said what I just said, that it was

almost impossible. And Mr. Merkin thought Mr. Madoff

very hard to achieve these kinds of returns and just

	66
1	had a wonderful touch, I guess, and had indicated all
2	that he was doing this our great concern about the
3	independent verification. But, nevertheless, was very
4	cordial and gentlemanly when we said we really would
5	like to be out.
6	Q. Did he ever provide an explanation for
7	the reason for Mr. Madoff's consistency?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Did he ever provide an explanation for
10	how BLMIS could generate positive returns even in a
11	down market?
12	A. No.
13	Q. During the time of that investment, did
14	you maintain your the concerns we previously
15	discussed about the clearing firm and the auditor?
16	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.
17	A. No. It was during the term that we
18	were invested?
19	Q. Yes.
20	A. No, no. We relied upon Mr. Merkin.
21	Q. Did you continue to discuss those
22	issues with Mr. Merkin at all?
23	A. If I can take your question to be, you
24	used the word "continue," did we ever once again
25	during that period of time discuss that, I don't

	98
1	Gabriel had been invested with BLMIS during that time?
2	A. One minute ago as you just described
3	it.
4	Q. When was the first time that you
5	learned that Ariel and Gabriel had ever had exposure
6	to BLMIS?
7	A. At today's meeting.
8	Q. Okay. Did Mr. Merkin inform you of
9	that following Mr. Madoff's arrest?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Okay. So you didn't know until you
12	came in today that Gabriel and Ariel were invested
13	with BLMIS?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. Prior to today, do you remember having
16	any discussions with anyone at Ehrenkranz regarding
17	the question of whether or not Ariel or Gabriel were
18	ever invested with BLMIS?
19	A. No.
20	Q. No. If you had known at any point in
21	time in which you were invested with Ariel and Gabriel
22	that they, themselves, were placing assets with BLMIS,
23	would you have maintained that investment?
24	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.
25	A. Highly hypothetical. I don't know. I

	99
1	don't know about that.
2	Q. Would you have had the same concerns
3	with regard to Ariel and Gabriel investing with BLMIS
4	as you had had concerning Ascot's investment with
5	BLMIS?
6	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Okay. During the time that you
9	invested with Ariel and Gabriel, we'll say at least
10	from 1996 through 2003, was Mr. Merkin aware of your
11	concerns and desire not to be invested with BLMIS?
12	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.
13	A. Would you state the dates, please.
14	Q. Sure. Between 1996, the time you
15	redeemed from Ascot
16	A. Yes. Thank you. Yes.
17	Q through 2003, the time you redeemed
18	from Ariel and Gabriel, was Mr. Merkin aware that you
19	did not want to be invested with BLMIS?
20	A. Yes.
21	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.
22	Q. How did he know that? Did you tell
23	him?
24	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.
25	A. Only reason he would know that because

	9	7	
1	A. Of course.		
2	Q. What did he say?		
3	A. Don't remember what he said, but I		
4	believe he acted as a gentleman at all times.		
5	Q. Did you continue to have a favorable		
6	view of Mr. Merkin from the point in time of that		
7	redemption at least through December of 2008?		
8	A. Yes.		
9	Q. Did you continue to refer potential		
10	investors to Ariel and Gabriel during that time		
11	period?		
12	A. No.		
13	Q. Why not?		
14	A. For the same reasons that we exited.		
15	Q. That reason being performance?		
16	A. Performance.		
17	Q. Okay. At the time that your funds and		
18	those of the joint venture redeemed from Ariel and		
19	Gabriel, were you aware that Ariel and Gabriel had		
20	investments with BLMIS?		
21	A. No.		
22	Q. Were you aware that they had been		
23	invested with BLMIS since at least August of 2000?		
24	A. No.		
25	Q. When did you first learn that Ariel and		

	98
1	Gabriel had been invested with BLMIS during that time?
2	A. One minute ago as you just described
3	it.
4	Q. When was the first time that you
5	learned that Ariel and Gabriel had ever had exposure
6	to BLMIS?
7	A. At today's meeting.
8	Q. Okay. Did Mr. Merkin inform you of
9	that following Mr. Madoff's arrest?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Okay. So you didn't know until you
12	came in today that Gabriel and Ariel were invested
13	with BLMIS?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. Prior to today, do you remember having
16	any discussions with anyone at Ehrenkranz regarding
17	the question of whether or not Ariel or Gabriel were
18	ever invested with BLMIS?
19	A. No.
20	Q. No. If you had known at any point in
21	time in which you were invested with Ariel and Gabriel
22	that they, themselves, were placing assets with BLMIS,
23	would you have maintained that investment?
24	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.
25	A. Highly hypothetical. I don't know. I

	Ticara V. Merkin Comfacilitat Joer Emeliki anz 3-20-1
	48
1	calls, puts and other transactions, ending balance,
2	and I thought it was a a wonderfully transparent
3	report.
4	Q. Did anything that you discussed at that
5	meeting raise concerns with you?
6	A. Yes. The slips which he sent out, he
7	described that he would send slips were from Madoff
8	and company, and the statement, the monthly statement
9	was from Madoff and company.
10	Q. And why was that a concern?
11	A. Because I said where is the independent
12	verification? And I said to him that this is his
13	strategy was a very interesting strategy for us, and
14	that we could be an investor with him if he cleared
15	his trades through some entity like a Merrill Lynch or
16	a Morgan Stanley or Goldman Sachs or one of the major
17	clearing firms.
18	Q. Was it typical of you to inquire into
19	potential investors I'm sorry, investment managers
20	as to whether or not there was independent
21	verification of trades?
22	A. Absolutely.
23	Q. Why?
24	A. Because that's the only way you can
25	assure yourself that, in fact, what is occurring, what

a minor concern.

ard v. Merkin	Confidential	Joel Ehrenkranz 3-20-14
		49
you believe is occurr	ing, is, in fac	t, occurring.
Q. So eve	n though you be	lieved that he
gave his clients sign	ificant amount	of transparency,
that's what you testi	fied to before,	you still had a
concern because that	transparency wa	s not
independently verifie	d. Is that acc	urate?
A. Yes.		
Q. Did yo	ou discuss any o	ther concerns with
Mr. Madoff?		
A. No, ot	her than probab	ly how big he
could be in the in	the in what	he was doing, and
there was some recoll	ection, which I	'm not as clear
about, about the audi	ting firm that	audited the
statements. I was no	t as clear abou	t it because I
cared about the indep	endent verifica	tion.
Q. What d	lo you recall ab	out I assume
you're talking about	Mr. Madoff's au	ditor?
A. Yes.	I don't really	recall much of
that conversation oth	er than asking	or having seen
the auditor and havin	g it not be a n	ame of any

My big issue was if it was done through a Merrill Lynch or Morgan Stanley, I would have had a somewhat different point of view. I might have

recognition and having that as what I'm going to call

		55
1	A. My brother reporting to me	
2	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
3	A that Mr. Madoff said he wasn't go	ing
4	to do it for the very reason I reported.	
5	Q. Did you ultimately decide not to inv	est
6	with Mr. Madoff then?	
7	A. Correct.	
8	Q. Following that meeting and phone cal	1,
9	do you recall having discussions with anyone at	
10	Ehrenkranz regarding your discussions with Madoff?	
11	A. Other than we probably reported to	
12	Larry Cohen our meeting.	
13	Q. Did you express to him the concerns	
14	that you had had	
15	A. Of course.	
16	Q. Do you recall after that having any	
17	discussions with Ezra Merkin regarding	
18	A. Definitely telling him we weren't do	ing
19	it for those reasons.	
20	Q. And specifically the reasons as to	
21	clearing?	
22	A. Yes.	
23	Q. And the auditor?	
24	A. Yes. Not the auditor, but the reaso	ns
25	of the independent clearing.	

	56
1	Q. Do you remember what Mr. Merkin's
2	response was, if anything?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Did you express to Mr. Merkin the
5	possibility of using an independent clearing fund as
6	you had
7	A. Would you repeat that question?
8	Q. Sure. Your proposal to Mr. Madoff that
9	he independently clear through Goldman or Merrill
10	Lynch
11	A. Yes.
12	Q did you discuss that possibility
13	with Ezra Merkin as well?
14	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.
15	A. I'm going to answer it by saying we
16	probably said that to Ezra Merkin in reporting on what
17	the meeting was.
18	Q. Do you remember if Mr. Merkin ever
19	expressed whether your concerns were valid or
20	reasonable?
21	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.
22	A. No, no.
23	Q. Did he ever express whether he,
24	himself, had discussed those issues with Mr. Madoff?
25	A. No.

	64
1	Q. Sure. You said you recalled paying a
2	management fee but not an incentive fee.
3	A. To Ascot?
4	Q. To Ascot, correct.
5	A. But your question related to something
6	else.
7	Q. I appreciate that. Let me rephrase.
8	Why did you pay that fee? What was its
9	purpose?
10	A. Well, we paid a fee to Mr. Merkin,
11	Ascot Fund to him to do the due diligence, arrange the
12	auditing and do all the things that had to be done
13	with governance of any pool of assets.
14	Q. Was it your understanding that
15	Mr. Merkin kept that fee himself or at least his
16	management company kept that fee?
17	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.
18	A. I assume that, sure.
19	Q. Did he ever express that that fee was
20	being passed along to Mr. Madoff?
21	A. No.
22	Q. You previously testified that DIS fully
23	redeemed from Ascot Partners towards the end of 1995.
24	Is that correct? Why did you redeem then?
25	A. I don't remember the markets as well in

that period of time. But the stability of the returns began to belie any understanding of how it was possible to achieve, and we just became sufficiently uncomfortable with the whole idea of his ability to do this. And notwithstanding Merkin's feeling that it was okay, we just were sufficiently uncomfortable. We just didn't want to be there.

- Q. Okay. Can you explain further what you mean by stability of the return?
- A. It's hard, it's almost unimaginable in the financial markets to go ahead and get the kind of positive returns on a monthly basis that Mr. Madoff seemed to be able to get, and at some point in time it just belied logic and it had belied logic, and I really couldn't care less, if we weren't invested, hearing about it. But once we were invested, it really was it made us very, very uncomfortable, and there is no reason to be uncomfortable.
- Q. Did you have any discussions with Mr. Merkin regarding that?
 - A. Oh, yes, many.
 - Q. What did you say to him?
- A. I said what I just said, that it was very hard to achieve these kinds of returns and just almost impossible. And Mr. Merkin thought Mr. Madoff

	66
1	had a wonderful touch, I guess, and had indicated all
2	that he was doing this our great concern about the
3	independent verification. But, nevertheless, was very
4	cordial and gentlemanly when we said we really would
5	like to be out.
6	Q. Did he ever provide an explanation for
7	the reason for Mr. Madoff's consistency?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Did he ever provide an explanation for
10	how BLMIS could generate positive returns even in a
11	down market?
12	A. No.
13	Q. During the time of that investment, did
14	you maintain your the concerns we previously
15	discussed about the clearing firm and the auditor?
16	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.
17	A. No. It was during the term that we
18	were invested?
19	Q. Yes.
20	A. No, no. We relied upon Mr. Merkin.
21	Q. Did you continue to discuss those
22	issues with Mr. Merkin at all?
23	A. If I can take your question to be, you
24	used the word "continue," did we ever once again
25	during that period of time discuss that, I don't

	126
1	Acquisition Fund invested?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And, again, you don't disclose the
4	underlying investments made by each of those maybe 8
5	or 10 funds. Right?
6	A. Yes.
7	MR. KITCHEN: Objection to form.
8	A. Correct.
9	MR. STEINER: I have no further
10	questions.
11	MR. SCOTT: No questions here.
12	MR. KLOTZ: I have no questions.
13	MR. KITCHEN: Nothing further from the
14	Trustee.
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record 1:37.
16	(Time noted: 1:37 p.m.)
17	* * *
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	